

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/813,228 03/31/2004		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 3874	
		Charles E. Benedict	14630		
293 75	90 09/21/2006	EXAMINER			
Ralph A. Dowell of DOWELL & DOWELL P.C.			ADAMS, GREGORY W		
2111 Eisenhow	er Ave				
Suite 406			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria, VA 22314			3652		

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/813,228	BENEDICT ET AL.					
		Ī	Examiner		Art Unit				
		(Gregory W. Adams		3652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum state re to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DAT f 37 CFR 1.136(nication. utory period will ill, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMMUN a). In no event, however, may apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo tuse the application to become	NICATION. a reply be time ONTHS from th ABANDONED	ely filed ne mailing date of this con (35 U.S.C. § 133).	v.			
Status									
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 28 Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	o)⊠ This ac or allowance	ction is non-final. e except for formal ma	•		merits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction on Papers	withdrawn							
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	a) acception to the dra he correction	awing(s) be held in abeyon is required if the drawing	ance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFF	• •			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) D Notice 3) D Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	O-948)	4) Interview Paper No 5) Notice of 6) Other:	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Pat	e				

Application/Control Number: 10/813,228

Art Unit: 3652

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-13, drawn to an automated material handling and storage system, classified in class 414, subclass 143.2.
- II. Claims 14-17, drawn to a method for handling cargo containers within a ship, classified in class 414, subclass 803.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In the method could be used to relocated goods within a manufacturing facility such as along a production line.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Applicant's representative to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,228

Art Unit: 3652

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Conclusion

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory W. Adams whose telephone number is (571) 272-8101. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th., 8:00-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,228 Page 4

Art Unit: 3652

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GWA

PATRICK MACKEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY GENTER 5660